

# **New BGP Features**

**ISP/IXP Workshops** 

#### **New Features**

- Most features be in 12.2S and 12.3T/12.4T IOS branches
- Some features will also be in 12.0S and 12.4
- For details on the exact release that introduces feature X please contact your account team

Or look at the Cisco IOS Feature Navigator (cco.cisco.com/go/fn)

#### **Agenda**

#### Not so new features

**Peer Templates** 

**Update Groups** 

**Scalability Improvements** 

**Named Extended Community Lists** 

**Sequenced Extended Community Lists** 

**New AFI/SAFI support** 

- TCP Developments
- BGP Scanner
- OER
- Miscellaneous

#### Not so new features

- "New Features" from last few years
- Many of these were introduced in 12.0(24)S and 12.2(25)S
- A lot of people still don't know about these

#### **BGP Peer Templates**

Used to group common configurations

**Uses peer-group style of syntax** 

Much more flexible than peer-groups

Hierarchical policy configuration mechanism

A peer-template may be used to provide policy configurations to an individual neighbor, a peer-group or another peer-template

The more specific user takes precedence if policy overlaps individual neighbor → peer-group → peer-template

#### **BGP Peer Templates**

- First appeared in 12.0(24)S and 12.2(25)S
   Integrated in 12.3T, now in 12.4
- Two types of templates
- Session Template

Can inherit from one session-template

Used to configure parameters which are independent of the AFI (address-family-identifier)

e.g. remote-as, ebgp-multihop, passwords, etc

Peer/policy Template

Can inherit from multiple peer/policy templates

**Used to configure AFI dependant parameters** 

Filters, next-hop-self, route-reflector-client, etc

## **Session Template**

```
router bgp 100
 template peer-session all-sessions
 version 4
 timers 10 30
                                              no synchronization
 exit-peer-session
                                              bgp log-neighbor-changes
                                              neighbor 1.1.1.1 inherit peer-session iBGP-session
 template peer-session iBGP-session
                                              neighbor 1.1.1.2 inherit peer-session iBGP-session
  remote-as 100
                                              neighbor 1.1.1.3 inherit peer-session iBGP-session
 password 7
                                              neighbor 10.1.1.1 remote-as 1442
   022F021B12091A61484B0A0B1C07064B180C233
                                              neighbor 10.1.1.1 inherit peer-session eBGP-session
   8642C26272B1D
                                              neighbor 10.1.1.2 remote-as 6445
 description iBGP peer
                                              neighbor 10.1.1.2 inherit peer-session eBGP-session
 update-source Loopback0
                                              no auto-summary
  inherit peer-session all-sessions
 exit-peer-session
 template peer-session eBGP-session
 description eBGP peer
  ebop-multihop 2
  inherit peer-session all-sessions
 exit-peer-session
```

- 1.1.1.1 → 1.1.1.3 are configured with commands from all-sessions and iBGP-session
- 10.1.1.1 → 10.1.1.2 are configured with commands from all-sessions and eBGP-session

## **Policy Template**

```
router bap 100
                                           template peer-policy partial-routes-
 template peer-policy all-peers
                                             customer
 refix-list deny-martians in
                                            route-map partial-routes out
 prefix-list deny-martians out
                                            inherit peer-policy external-policy 10
 exit-peer-policy
                                           exit-peer-policy
 template peer-policy external-policy
                                            template peer-policy internal-policy
 remove-private-as
                                            send-community
 maximum-prefix 1000
                                            inherit peer-policy all-peers 10
 inherit peer-policy all-peers 10
                                           exit-peer-policy
exit-peer-policy
                                           template peer-policy RRC
template peer-policy full-routes-customer
                                            route-reflector-client
 route-map full-routes out
                                            inherit peer-policy internal-policy 10
 inherit peer-policy external-policy 10
                                           exit-peer-policy
exit-peer-policy
           neighbor 1.1.1.1 inherit peer-policy internal-policy
           neighbor 1.1.1.2 inherit peer-policy RRC
           neighbor 1.1.1.3 inherit peer-policy RRC
           neighbor 10.1.1.1 inherit peer-policy full-routes-customer
           neighbor 10.1.1.2 inherit peer-policy partial-routes-customer
```

#### **Policy Template**

```
template peer-policy foo
                                     Router#show ip bgp neighbors 10.1.1.3 policy
 filter-list 100 out
                                      Neighbor: 10.1.1.3, Address-Family: IPv4
prefix-list foo-filter out
                                        Unicast.
 inherit peer-policy all-peers 10
                                       Inherited polices:
exit-peer-policv
                                       prefix-list deny-martians in
                                       prefix-list bar-filter out
template peer-policy bar
                                        filter-list 100 out
prefix-list bar-filter out
                                     Router#
exit-peer-policy
template peer-policy seq example
 inherit peer-policy bar 20
 inherit peer-policy foo 10
exit-peer-policy
neighbor 10.1.1.3 remote-as 200
neighbor 10.1.1.3 inherit peer-policy seq example
```

- A policy template can inherit from multiple templates
- Seq # determines priority if overlapping policies
   Higher seq # has priority

- First appeared in 12.0(24)S and 12.2(25)S
   Integrated in 12.3T, now in 12.4
- The Problem: peer-groups help BGP scale but customers do not always use peer-groups, especially with eBGP peers
- The Solution: treat peers with a common outbound policy as if they are in a peer-group

- Peers with a common outbound policy are placed into an update-group
- Reduce CPU cycles
  - BGP builds updates for one member of the update-group Updates are then replicated to the other members of the updategroup
- Same benefit of configuring peer-groups but without the configuration hassle
- Peer-groups may still be used
  - Reduces config size
  - No longer makes a difference in convergence/scalability

 What "neighbor" commands determine a common outbound policy?

```
Outbound Filters (route-maps, as-path ACLs, etc)
Internal vs. External peer
min-advertisement-interval
ORF (Outbound Route Filtering)
route-reflector-client
next-hop-self
etc...
```

- "neighbor x.x.x.x default-originate" is an exception
   We generate this default on a per-peer basis
   Can therefore be ignored for update-group selection
- Inbound policy does not matter

#### Example

```
neighbor 10.1.1.1 remote 200
neighbor 10.1.1.1 route-map full-routes out
...
neighbor 10.1.1.30 remote-as 3453
neighbor 10.1.1.30 route-map full-routes out
neighbor 10.2.1.1 remote-as 25332
neighbor 10.2.1.1 route-map customer-routes out
...
neighbor 10.2.1.5 remote-as 6344
neighbor 10.2.1.5 route-map customer-routes out
```

- "full-routes" peers are in one update-group
- "customer-routes" peers are in another
- New command: show ip bgp replication
- Displays summary of each update-group
  - # of members
  - # of updates formatted (MsgFmt) and replicated (MsgRepl)

```
Router#show ip bgp replication

BGP Total Messages Formatted/Enqueued : 0/0
```

Index	Type	Members	Leader	MsgFmt	MsgRepl	Csize	Qsize
1 e	external	30	10.1.1.1	0	0	0	0
2 €	external	5	10.2.1.1	0	0	0	0

- show ip bgp update-group
- Peers with "route-map customer-routes out" are in updategroup #2

```
Router#show ip bgp update-group 10.2.1.1

BGP version 4 update-group 2, external, Address Family: IPv4 Unicast

BGP Update version: 0, messages 0/0

Route map for outgoing advertisements is customer-routes

Update messages formatted 0, replicated 0

Number of NLRIs in the update sent: max 0, min 0

Minimum time between advertisement runs is 30 seconds

Has 5 members (* indicates the members currently being sent updates):

10.2.1.1 10.2.1.2 10.2.1.3 10.2.1.4

10.2.1.5
```

 Bootup convergence and "clear ip bgp \*" are the biggest challenges

Must converge all of our peers from scratch BGP has to build and transmit a large amount of data

- Multiple ways to improve convergence and scalability
- "ip tcp path-mtu-discovery"

Forces TCP to optimize its MSS (max segment size)

**Reduces TCP overhead dramatically** 

Turn this on to improve scalability

Interface input queue drops


TCP acks can arrive in waves


Dropping a TCP ack is costly

If you are getting these drops, increase the size of your interface input queues

- Many incremental changes to BGP algorithms to improve convergence
- Most are related to building and replicating updates as efficiently as possible
- Some are related to reducing BGP transient memory usage
- Others involve improving BGP → TCP interaction

- "How many peers" graph
- Displays the number of peers we can converge in 10 minutes (Y-axis) assuming we are advertising Xaxis number of routes to each peer





CPU speed plays a big role

## **Named Extended Community Lists**

- Named policies are easier to manage than numbered policies
- Support for named extended communities

```
ip extcommunity-list standard AS_100_list permit rt 100:100
ip extcommunity-list expanded AS_2XX_list permit _2[0-9][0-9]_
ip extcommunity-list expanded AS_2XX_list deny .*
```

#### **Sequenced Extended Community Lists**

- Named and numbered extcommunity-list entries can now have a sequence number
- Allows user to add a statement in a specific location or remove a specific statement
- Example:

```
R1(config) #ip extcommunity-list 44
R1(config-extcomm-list) #10 permit rt 3:3
R1(config-extcomm-list) #20 permit rt 3:10
R1(config-extcomm-list) #30 permit rt 4:4
```

#### **Sequenced Extended Community Lists**

Displayed without sequence #s for backwards compatibility

```
R1#sh run | include list 44
ip extcommunity-list 44 permit rt 3:3
ip extcommunity-list 44 permit rt 3:10
ip extcommunity-list 44 permit rt 4:4
```

The #s are still stored in memory

```
R1#sh ip extcommunity-list 44
Standard extended community-list 44
10 permit RT:3:3
Standard extended community-list 44
20 permit RT:3:10
Standard extended community-list 44
30 permit RT:4:4
```

## Additional AFI/SAFI Support

- IPv6 VPNs
- IPv6 Multicast
- Multicast VPNs
- For more details refer to the IPv6 and Multicast Cisco Networkers sessions and the Cisco website (cco.cisco.com)

#### **Agenda**

- Not so new features
- TCP Developments

Source/Destination Address Matching
Active vs Passive Sessions
BTDH - BGP TTL Security Hack

**TCP PMTU - TCP Path MTU Discovery** 

- BGP Scanner
- OER
- Miscellaneous

- Both peers must now agree on peering addresses
- IP Addresses

Destination IP is specified via "neighbor x.x.x.x"

Source IP is outbound interface by default


Source IP may be specified via

"neighbor x.x.x.x update-source interface"

TCP port numbers

**Destination will be port 179** 

Source port is random for added security



- Both sides must agree on source/destination addresses
- R1 to R2 connection

```
neighbor 2.2.2.2 remote-as 100
neighbor 2.2.2.2 update-source loopback 0
```

R2 to R1 connection — · · — · »

```
neighbor 10.1.1.1 remote-as 100
neighbor 10.1.1.1 update-source loopback 0
```

 R1 and R2 do not agree on what addresses to use BGP will tear down the TCP session due to the conflict Points out configuration problems and adds some security


R2 attempts to open a session to R1

```
BGP: 10.1.1.1 open active, local address 2.2.2.2
```

- R1 denies the session because of the address mismatch
- "debug ip bgp" on R1 shows


```
BGP: 2.2.2.2 passive open to 10.1.1.1

BGP: 2.2.2.2 passive open failed - 10.1.1.1 is not update-source Loopback0's address (1.1.1.1)
```



- R1 to R2 connection ---->
  - neighbor 2.2.2.2 remote-as 100
  - neighbor 2.2.2.2 update-source loopback 0
- R2 to R1 connection · · → · »
  - neighbor 1.1.1.1 remote-as 100
  - neighbor 1.1.1.1 update-source loopback 0
- Routers agree on source/destination address
   BGP will accept this TCP session

#### TCP – Active vs. Passive Session



- Active Session If the TCP session initiated by R1 is the one used between R1 & R2 then R1 "actively" established the session.
- Passive Session For the same scenario R2 "passively" established the session.
- R1 Actively opened the session
- R2 Passively accepted the session
- Can be configured on R2:

neighbor x.x.x.x transport connection-mode [active|passive]

#### TCP – Active vs. Passive Session

 Use "show ip bgp neighbor" on R1 to determine if a router actively or passively established a session

```
R1#show ip bgp neighbors 2.2.2.2

BGP neighbor is 2.2.2.2, remote AS 200, external link


BGP version 4, remote router ID 2.2.2.2

[snip]

Local host: 1.1.1.1, Local port: 12343

Foreign host: 2.2.2.2, Foreign port: 179
```

- TCP open from R1 to R2's port 179 established the session
- Tells us that R1 actively established the session



#### **Problem:**


- Hackers spoof BGP messages to R1 as if they are R2
- R1 must use MD5 to filter out the bogus messages
- MD5 validation must be done on the RP (Route Processor)

- Available from 12.0(27)S, 12.2(25)S, 12.3(7)T and 12.4
- Provides a lightweight mechanism to defend against most BGP spoof attacks

Does **NOT** replace the need for MD5 authentication!

- Sender sets the TTL to 255
- Receiver checks for a TTL of 254 for directly connected neighbors

A lower acceptable TTL value must be configured for multihop neighbors



- R1 and R2 both use BTSH
- Both sides must configure the feature

neighbor x.x.x.x ttl-security 255

May use BTSH instead of ebgp-multihop if you control both ends of the session

- Packets from R2 will have a TTL of 255
- Packets generated by Hackers will have a TTL that is less than 255

Easy to compare the TTL value vs. the 255 threshold and discard spoofed packets

Discards can be done at the linecard

TTL check is much cheaper than MD5

- Attack scope is reduced to directly connected devices!
- MD5 should still be used to authenticate any message that makes it past BTSH
- BTSH is documented in the Experimental RFC 3682

## **TCP – Security Summary**

Minimal built in security

Random source port #s

Strict source/destination IP agreement

TCP's MD5 authentication should be used

neighbor x.x.x.x password FOO

 MD5 + BTSH (BGP TTL Security Hack) provides protection with minimal CPU cost

## TCP MSS – Max Segment Size

MSS – Limit on the largest packet that can traverse a TCP session

Anything larger must be fragmented & re-assembled at the TCP layer

MSS is 536 bytes by default !!!

536 bytes is inefficient for Ethernet (MTU of 1500) or POS (MTU of 4470) networks

TCP is forced to break large packets into 536 byte chunks

Adds overheads

Slows BGP convergence and reduces scalability


## TCP MSS – Max Segment Size

```
    "ip tcp path-mtu-discovery"
    MSS = Lowest MTU between destinations minus IP overhead (20 bytes)
    minus TCP overhead (20 bytes)
    1460 bytes for Ethernet network
    4430 bytes for POS network
```

- Will be enabled by default for BGP sessions in the future
- New knob will allow you to enable/disable per peer

[no] neighbor x.x.x.x transport path-mtu-discovery

### **TCP MSS – Max Segment Size**



- BGP KAs (Keepalives) are 19 bytes
- BGP Updates vary in size up to 4096 bytes
- The larger the TCP MSS the fewer TCP packets required
- Fewer packets means less overhead and faster convergence

### **Agenda**

- Not so new features
- TCP Developments
- BGP Scanner

**ATF – Address Tracking Feature** 

**NHT – Next Hop Tracking** 

**Event driven redistribution** 

- OER
- Miscellaneous

#### **BGP Scanner – Overview**

- BGP Scanner
- Import scanner runs once every 15 seconds
   Imports VPNv4 routes into vrfs (2547)
   bgp scan-time import X
- Full scanner run happens every 60 seconds

bgp scan-time X

Lowering this value is not recommended

- Full scan performs multiple housekeeping tasks
  - Validate nexthop reachability

Validate bestpath selection

Route redistribution and network statements

**Conditional advertisement** 

**Route dampening** 

**BGP Database cleanup** 

#### **BGP Scanner – Overview**

- CPU spike is normal when scanner runs
   Is a low priority process
   Scanner spike shouldn't adversely effect other processes
- Scanning a full table of internet routes is a big job
- "debug ip bgp events" will show you when scanner ran for each address-family

```
BGP: Performing BGP general scanning
BGP(0): scanning IPv4 Unicast routing tables
BGP(IPv4 Unicast): Performing BGP Nexthop scanning for general scan
BGP(0): Future scanner version: 7, current scanner version: 6
BGP(1): scanning IPv6 Unicast routing tables
BGP(IPv6 Unicast): Performing BGP Nexthop scanning for general scan
BGP(1): Future scanner version: 13, current scanner version: 12
BGP(2): scanning VPNv4 Unicast routing tables
BGP(VPNv4 Unicast): Performing BGP Nexthop scanning for general scan
BGP(2): Future scanner version: 13, current scanner version: 12
BGP(4): scanning IPv4 Multicast routing tables
BGP(IPv4 Multicast): Performing BGP Nexthop scanning for general scan
BGP(4): Future scanner version: 13, current scanner version: 12
BGP(5): scanning IPv6 Multicast routing tables
BGP(IPv6 Multicast): Performing BGP Nexthop scanning for general scan
BGP(5): Future scanner version: 13, current scanner version: 12
```

## **ATF – Address Tracking Filter**

- Available from 12.0(28)S
   (CSCec17043, CSCee70421)
- ATF is a middle man between clients that use the RIB and the FIB

Clients could be BGP, OSPF, EIGRP, etc

- The client tells ATF what prefixes he is interested in
- ATF tells the client when one of these prefixes has a RIB change

## **BGP NHT – Next Hop Tracking**

Integrated in 12.0(28)S
 (CSCec18878, CSCec55381)
 Enabled by default
 [no] bgp nexthop trigger enable

- BGP registers all nexthops with ATF
   Hidden command will let you see a list of nexthops
   show ip bgp attr nexthop
- ATF will let BGP know when a route change occurs for a nexthop
- ATF notification will trigger a lightweight "BGP Scanner" run
   Only bestpath will be calculated
   None of the other standard stuff that BGP does in scanner will happen

### **Next Hop Tracking**

- BGP will scan the table and recalculate bestpaths
- No longer have to wait as long as 60 seconds for BGP to scan the table and recalculate bestpaths
- Once an ATF notification is received BGP waits 5 seconds before triggering NHT scan

bgp nexthop trigger delay <0-100>

May lower default value as we gain experience

Allows BGP to react quickly to IGP changes

Tuning your IGP for fast convergence is highly recommended

## **Next Hop Tracking**

 Damping library is used to prevent triggered scans from happening too often

"show ip bgp internal" shows when the next scan can run

- New commands
  - bgp nexthop trigger enable
  - bgp nexthop trigger delay <0-100>
  - show ip bgp attr next-hop ribfilter
  - debug ip bgp events nexthop
  - debug ip bgp rib-filter
- Normal BGP scan still happens every 60 seconds
- Normal scanner does not evaluate best path at each net if NHT is enabled

### **Event Driven Route Origination**

Improvements have been made to reduce CPU impact

Route redistribution is now fully event driven Network statements are now fully event driven


Nexthop Tracking (NHT)

NHT detects that our route to one of our BGP nexthops has changed

NHT triggers a lightweight scanner run that only validates nexthop reachability and recalculates bestpaths

Nexthop and bestpath validation no longer happens in scanner every 60 seconds

### **BGP Scanner**



- 7200 with NPE-G1
- 900k routes in the BGP table
- BGP Scanner in 12.2S uses much less CPU

## **Agenda**

- Not so new features
- TCP Developments
- BGP Scanner
- OER

The Basics
BGP's role in OER

Miscellaneous

### **OER – Optimized Edge Routing**

- BGP defines a best path based on a complicated 12 step program
- Shortest AS-PATH is normally the determining factor
- # of ASs in an AS-PATH is a very generic metric

Tells us nothing about the number of routers or types of links the traffic will traverse


A path with a longer AS-PATH could be faster than a path with a shorter AS-PATH

 AS-PATH prepending and other policies make this picture even more muddy

## **OER – Optimized Edge Routing**

- OER allows traffic to use the optimal exit point out of a network as opposed to the BGP defined best path
- OER determines this optimal exit point based on information about the actual state of the network (by active and pasive network traffic probing)
- The optimal exit is the one giving the best overall performance when trying to communicate with a given prefix

## **OER – Optimized Edge Routing**




#### OER – How does it work?

- Netflow gathers information to determine delay over various paths
- Netflow data is delivered to a Master OER server
- Server applies user defined polices and rules to determine the optimal exit
- Server changes the BGP configuration of border routers to force traffic out via the optimal exit

route-maps and localpref

### **OER – How does it work?**



### **OER – Server Settings**

 The Master server determines the optimal path by using the Netflow data with user defined policy

Low delay
Low packet loss
Cost Minimization
History
etc.

### **OER – More Information?**

- This was OER from 100,000 feet
- Cisco Networkers has an entire session dedicated to OER!

**RST-4311** 

### **Agenda**

- Not so new features
- TCP Developments
- BGP Scanner
- OER
- Miscellaneous

FSD – Fast Session Deactivation EIGRP PE/CE Restart after max-prefix exceeded Last AS prepend eBGP disable-connected-check RIB Modify

#### **FSD**

- FSD Fast Session Deactivation
- Register peers' addresses with ATF
- ATF will let BGP know if there is a change to a peer's address
- If we loose our route to the peer from the RIB, tear down the session


No need to wait for the hold timer to expire!

#### **FSD**

- Ideal for IBGP peers and multihop eBGP peers
- Can tear down BGP sessions at IGP convergence speed
- Off by default

```
neighbor x.x.x.x fall-over
```

### **EIGRP PE/CE**



#### **EIGRP PE/CE**

- EIGRP will prefer routes learned via the ISP over the backdoor routes ( use of cost-communities)
- All EIGRP metrics are preserved across the ISP backbone!

If New York redistributes 10.0.0.0/8 from RIP to EIGRP then LA will see the EIGRP route as an external with the proper metric

Accomplished by using BGP extended communities to carry the EIGRP information through the backbone

#### Restart after Max Prefix exceeded


- neighbor x.x.x.x maximum-prefix 100
   Session will be shutdown if peer exceeds limit (100 prefixes)
   Manual intervention required to re-establish connection
- New "restart" keyword
   Specify # of minutes to wait before automatically restarting the session
- Do not set the restart timer too low
   Frequently flapping sessions could result in dampening
   Give your neighboring operators time to correct the problem
- neighbor x.x.x.x maximum-prefix 100 restart 30
   Session will automatically attempt to re-establish after 30 minutes

### Last AS Prepend

- New knob for route-map as-path prepending
   Only applicable on route-maps applied to neighbor statements
- set as-path prepend last-as X
   Prepends the last-as (leftmost AS in the AS\_PATH) X times
- BGP now sanity checks route-map match and set statements

```
R3(config-router) #redist static route-map foo % "foo" used as redistribute static into bgp route-map, set as-path prepend last-as not supported
```

### **Last AS Prepend**



R3 is configured to last-as prepend towards R4

```
router bgp 100
    neighbor R4 route-map foo out
route-map foo permit 10
    set as-path prepend last-as 2
```

R4 sees the as-path as if R2 prepended

```
R4# show ip bgp 10.0.0.0/8

BGP routing table entry for 10.0.0.0/8, version 41

100 200 200 200 250

20.255.255.2 from 20.255.255.1 (1.1.1.1)

Origin incomplete, localpref 100, valid, external, best
```

#### eBGP disable-connected-check

eBGP peers must meet one of the following criteria

Are directly connected which is verified by comparing the eBGP peer's address with our connected subnets

Are configured for ebgp-multihop which disables the connected subnet check

 Single hop eBGP loopback peering does not fit either rule very well

Default TTL (Time To Live) is 1

⇒ "neighbor x.x.x.x ebgp-multihop 1" is silently ignored by the parser


"neighbor x.x.x.x ebgp-multihop 2" must be used here

#### eBGP disable-connected-check

- R1 and R3 are eBGP peers that are loopback peering
- Older code must use the following in R1 and R3

Small security hole

If the R1 to R3 link goes down the session could establish via R2






#### eBGP disable-connected-check

 New code does not need an ebgp-multihop statement

#### Instead use:


neighbor x.x.x.x disableconnected-check

- TTL is 1
- Session cannot establish via R2
- If R1 to R3 link is down so is the BGP session
- Closes security hole!



## **RIB Modify**

- AS 100 is dual peering
   AS 200 is primary
   AS 300 is backup
- Upon AS 200 failure
   All routes via AS 200 will be deleted
   Routes via AS 300 will be added
- Brief period where traffic is dropped during transition



### **RIB Modify**

- RIB Modify lets us modify the route in place
- No longer need to do a delete/add
- We modify the AS 200 route with the AS 300 route
- Zero traffic is dropped during the transition!

- A NOTIFICATION message resets the BGP session
- The error may apply to only a particular AFI/SAFI
- The #AFI/SAFIs has increased in the recent times
- Affects stability and robustness of BGP Networks

Need a per AFI/SAFI NOTIFICATION that

Will not reset the BGP session

Will soft-reset the affected AFI/SAFI

Has a mechanism to soft-shut/soft-unshut an AFI/SAFI

Has a mechanism to synchronize AFI/SAFI states on sender and receiver

Would introduce a new Capability

- A New BGP Message Type
- No BGP session-reset
- Will soft-reset the affected AFI/SAFI
- Handshaking mechanism to synchronize the AFI/SAFI states between the BGP Speakers sending/receiving the Soft-Notification Message

- Updates, update errors and Cease Notifications are per AFI/SAFI
- 70% per AFI/SAFI errors are recoverable
- Remaining 30% could be solved through

**BGP Update-v2** 

Changing implementation to encode MP\_UNREACH/MP\_REACH as the first attribute (Enke's suggestion)

#### Inform vs. Soft-Notification

#### Inform

To signal events or innocuous errors

Action taken on receiving an Inform - Logging

#### Soft-Notification

Specifically to signal Soft-Notifications for per-AFI/SAFI errors

Action taken on receiving Soft-Notification – AFI/SAFI reset, AFI/SAFI shut or AFI/SAFI unshut

Handshaking mechanism to synchronize peer states

#### **BGPv4 Soft-Notification – Benefits**

- Provides AFI/SAFI robustness and isolation
- New AFI/SAFI deployment leaves the existing AFI/SAFIs unaffected
- Better Network manageability and stability
- New non-routing/routing-related AFI/SAFIs will not affect core Internet routing

## **Agenda**

- Not so new features
- TCP Developments
- BGP Scanner
- OER
- Miscellaneous



# **New BGP Features**

**ISP/IXP Workshops**